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#### Abstract

The classical Young test says that if $f$ is a $2 \pi$-periodic function of bounded variation on $[-\pi, \pi]$, then the conjugate series to the Fourier series of $f$ converges at $x$ if and only if the conjugate function $f$ exists at $x$. Our main goal is to give estimates of the rate of this convergence in terms of the oscillation of $\psi_{x}(t):=$ $f(x+t)-f(x-t)$ over appropriate subintervals. In particular, we obtain a conjugate version of the well-known Dini-Lipschitz test. As a byproduct, we obtain the rate of convergence in $L^{1}$-norm. 1995 Academic Press. Inc.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider only $2 \pi$-periodic functions. Let $f$ be integrable in Lebesgue's sense on $[-\pi, \pi]$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \cos n x+b_{n} \sin n x\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Fourier series of $f$, then the conjugate series to (1.1) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \sin n x-b_{n} \cos n x\right) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\tilde{s}_{n}(f, x)$ the $n$th partial sum of series (1.2). As it is well known, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{s}_{n}(f, x)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \psi_{x}(t) \tilde{D}_{n}(t) d t, \quad n \geqslant 1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where
$$
\psi_{x}(t):=f(x+t)-f(x-t)
$$
and
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}_{n}(t):=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sin k t=\frac{\cos t / 2-\cos (n+1 / 2) t}{2 \sin t / 2} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

is the conjugate Dirichlet kernel.
The following test for the convergence of (1.2) was given by Young [5]. (See also [6, p. 59].)

Theorem 0. If $f$ is of bounded variation on $[-\pi, \pi]$, then a necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of (1.2) at a point $x$ is the existence of the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}(x):=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0+} \tilde{f}(x, h):=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_{h}^{\pi} \frac{\psi_{x}(t)}{2 \tan t / 2} d t \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which represents then the sum of (1.2).
The function $\tilde{f}$ is said to be conjugate to $f$. If $f$ is of bounded variation on $[-\pi, \pi]$ and $\tilde{f}$ exists at $x$, then $\psi_{x}(t)$ is necessarily continuous at $t=0$.

Our main object is to obtain estimates of the rate of convergence stated in Theorem 0 .

## 2. Main Results

We will use the notations

$$
I_{k n}:=\left[\theta_{k-1, n}, \theta_{k n}\right], \quad \text { where } \quad \theta_{k n}:=\frac{k \pi}{n}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n ; \quad n \geqslant 1
$$

We remind the reader that the oscillation of a bounded function $f$ over an interval $I$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{osc}(f, I):=\sup \{|f(x)-f(y)|: x, y \in I\}
$$

We will prove the following
Theorem 1. If $f$ is bounded, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{s}_{n}(f, x)-\tilde{f}\left(x, \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right| \leqslant\left(1+\frac{1}{\pi}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{osc}\left(\psi_{x}, I_{k n}\right), \quad n \geqslant 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence Theorem 0 follows easily.

We introduce one more notation:

$$
J_{k}:=\left[0, \frac{\pi}{k}\right], \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

Theorem 2. If $f$ is bounded and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{osc}\left(\psi_{x}, J_{k}\right)<\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\tilde{f}$ exists at $x$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\tilde{s}_{n}(f, x)-\tilde{f}(x)\right| \leqslant & \left(1+\frac{1}{\pi}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{osc}\left(\psi_{x}, I_{k n}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{osc}\left(\psi_{x}, J_{k}\right), \quad n \geqslant 1 . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In the following, we specialize Theorems 1 and 2 to the particular cases where $f$ is assumed to be (i) continuous or (ii) of bounded variation on $[-\pi, \pi]$.

We remind the reader that the modulus of continuity $\omega(f)$ of a $2 \pi$-periodic function $f$ is defined by

$$
\omega(f, \delta):=\sup \{|f(x)-f(y)|:|x-y| \leqslant \delta ; x, y \in \mathbf{R}\}
$$

Now, Theorem 1 implies the following
Corollary 1. If $f$ is continuous on $[-\pi, \pi]$, then we have, uniformly in $x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{s}_{n}(f, x)-\tilde{f}\left(x, \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right| \leqslant C \omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \ln (n+1), \quad n \geqslant 1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and in the following, by $C$ we denote a positive constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence. In general, $C$ may depend on $f$, but bot on $n$.

Theorem 2 implies the following result, which is folklore.

Corollary 2. If $f$ is continuous on $[-\pi, \pi]$ and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{k}\right)<\infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\tilde{f}$ exists for all $x$ and we have, uniformly in $x$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\tilde{s}_{n}(f, x)-\tilde{f}(x)\right| \leqslant & C \omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \ln (n+1) \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{k}\right), \quad n \geqslant 1 \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The uniform estimates (2.4) and (2.6) may be considered to be the conjugate versions of the well-known Dini-Lipschitz test. (See, e.g., [6, p. 63].)

It is plain that (2.5) is a sufficient condition for the uniform convergence of series (1.2) to the conjugate function $\bar{f}$. It is easy to see that it is equivalent to the condition

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\omega(f, \delta)}{\delta} d \delta<\infty
$$

Now, condition (2.5) is the best possible in the following sense. Let $\omega(\delta)$ be a concave modulus of continuity such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)=\infty \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to [4, Lemma 4], for the function

$$
f(x):=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[\omega\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)-\frac{k-1}{k} \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{k-1}\right)\right] \sin k x
$$

we have

$$
\omega(f, \delta) \leqslant C \omega(\delta), \quad \delta \geqslant 0
$$

By definition, the conjugate series is

$$
-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[\omega\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)-\frac{k-1}{k} \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{k-1}\right)\right] \cos k x=: \tilde{f}(x)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{s}_{n}(f, 0) & =-\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left[\omega\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)-\frac{k-1}{k} \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{k-1}\right)\right] \\
& =\omega(\pi)-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k+1} \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)-\omega\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

diverges as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Likewise, the conjugate function $\tilde{f}(x)$ does not exist at $x=0$.

Finally, we prove the following.
Theorem 3. If $f$ is of bounded variation on $[-\pi, \pi]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{s}_{n}(f, x)-\tilde{f}\left(x, \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right| \leqslant 9\left(1+\frac{1}{\pi}\right) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{var}\left(\psi_{x}, J_{k}\right), \quad n \geqslant 1 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{var}(\psi, J)$ denotes the total variation of the function $\psi$ over the interval $J$.

We note that inequality (2.8) was proved by Mazhar and Al-Budaiwi [3] with a smaller constant. The corresponding quantitative version of the classical Dirichlet-Jordan test (see, e.g., [6, p. 57]) was proved by Bojanic [1]. (See also [2].)

## 3. Auxiliary Results

Lemma 1 (See [3]). We have

$$
\left|\int_{x}^{\pi} \frac{\cos (n+1 / 2) t}{2 \sin t / 2} d t\right| \leqslant \frac{\pi}{(n+1 / 2) x}, \quad 0<x \leqslant \pi, \quad n \geqslant 0
$$

Lemma 2. If $\psi$ is of bounded variation on $[0, \pi]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{osc}\left(\psi, I_{k n}\right) \leqslant \frac{9}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{var}\left(\psi, J_{k}\right), \quad n \geqslant 2 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By definition,

$$
\operatorname{osc}\left(\psi, I_{k n}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{var}\left(\psi,\left[0, \theta_{k n}\right]\right)-\operatorname{var}\left(\psi,\left[0, \theta_{k-1, n}\right]\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{osc}\left(\psi, I_{k n}\right) \leqslant & \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{var}(\psi,[0, \pi]) \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \operatorname{var}\left(\psi,\left[0, \theta_{k n}\right]\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Define the nonnegative integer $m$ so $2^{m}<n \leqslant 2^{m+1}$. By making use of dyadic grouping, we get the following upper estimate:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} & \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \operatorname{var}\left(\psi,\left[0, \frac{k \pi}{n}\right]\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{var}\left(\psi,\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2^{m}}\right]\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\left(\sum_{k=2^{m-1-1}+1}^{2^{m-1}} \frac{1}{k(k+1)}\right) \operatorname{var}\left(\psi,\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2^{j}}\right]\right) \\
& +\left(\sum_{k=2^{m-1}+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k(k+1)}\right) \operatorname{var}(\psi,[0, \pi]) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{1}{2^{m-j-1}} \operatorname{var}\left(\psi,\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2^{j}}\right]\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Using dyadic grouping again, we can estimate from below the sum on the right-hand side of (3.1) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{var}\left(\psi,\left[0, \frac{\pi}{k}\right]\right) & \geqslant \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\left\{\operatorname{var}(\psi,[0, \pi])+\sum_{j=1}^{m} 2^{j-1} \operatorname{var}\left(\psi,\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2^{j}}\right]\right)\right\} \\
& \geqslant \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{1}{2^{m-j+2}} \operatorname{var}\left(\psi,\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2^{j}}\right]\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.2)-(3.4) yields

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{osc}\left(\psi, I_{k n}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{var}(\psi,[0, \pi])+\frac{8}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{var}\left(\psi, J_{k}\right)
$$

This proves (3.1).
We note that in the case $n=2^{m}$ with some nonnegative integer $m$, the above proof provides a smaller constant, namely 5 instead of 9 .

## 4. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. By (1.3)-(1.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{s}_{n}(f, x)-\tilde{f}\left(x, \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \\
& \quad=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi / n} \psi_{x}(t) \tilde{D}_{n}(t) d t+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi / n}^{\pi} \psi_{x}(t) \frac{\cos (n+1 / 2) t}{2 \sin t / 2} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi / n} \psi_{x}(t) \tilde{D}_{n}(t) d t+\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \int_{I_{k n}}\left[\psi_{x}(t)-\psi_{x}\left(\theta_{k-1, n}\right)\right] \\
& \times \frac{\cos (n+1 / 2) t}{2 \sin t / 2} d t \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \psi_{x}\left(\theta_{k-1, n}\right) \int_{I_{k n}} \frac{\cos (n+1 / 2) t}{2 \sin t / 2} d t=: A_{n}+B_{n}+C_{n} \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

say. Since

$$
\left|\tilde{D}_{n}(t)\right| \leqslant n \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{x}(0)=0
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{n}\right| \leqslant \operatorname{osc}\left(\psi_{x}, I_{1 n}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of the obvious estimate

$$
\left|\frac{\cos (n+1 / 2) t}{2 \sin t / 2}\right| \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2 t}, \quad 0<t \leqslant \pi
$$

we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|B_{n}\right| & \leqslant \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \operatorname{osc}\left(\psi_{x}, I_{k n}\right) \int_{I_{k n}} \frac{\pi}{2 t} d t \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{k-1} \operatorname{osc}\left(\psi_{x}, I_{k n}\right) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{osc}\left(\psi_{x}, I_{k n}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting

$$
R_{k n}:=\int_{\theta_{k n}}^{\pi} \frac{\cos (n+1 / 2) t}{2 \sin t / 2} d t, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

by Lemma 1 ,

$$
\left|R_{k n}\right| \leqslant \frac{\pi}{(n+1 / 2) \theta_{k n}}<\frac{1}{k}, \quad R_{n n}=0
$$
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By summation by parts,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{n} & :=\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \psi_{x}\left(\theta_{k-1, n}\right)\left(R_{k-1, n}-R_{k n}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left[\psi_{x}\left(\theta_{k n}\right)-\psi_{x}\left(\theta_{k+1, n}\right)\right] R_{k n}
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|C_{n}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{osc}\left(\psi_{r}, I_{k n}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.1)-(4.4) gives (2.1).
Proof of Theorem 2. If

$$
\frac{\pi}{n+1}<h \leqslant \frac{\pi}{n}, \quad n \geqslant 1
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\tilde{f}(x, h)-\tilde{f}\left(x, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right| & \leqslant \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi / n+1}^{\pi / n} \frac{\left|\psi_{x}(t)\right|}{2 \tan t / 2} d t \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{n \pi} \operatorname{osc}\left(\psi_{x}, J_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogously, for such $h$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{f}(x, h)-\tilde{f}(x)| \leqslant & \left|\tilde{f}(x, h)-\tilde{f}\left(x, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right| \\
& +\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left|\tilde{f}\left(x, \frac{\pi}{k}\right)-\tilde{f}\left(x, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{osc}\left(\psi_{x}, J_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, this is true when $h:=\pi / n$.
Now, Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1 and (2.2).
Proof of Theorem 3. Inequality (2.8) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and Lemma 2.

## 5. Covergence in $L^{1}$-Norm

Imitating the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, we may obtain the following quantitative versions of the Young test in $L^{1}$-norm.

Theorem 1*. If $f$ is integrable on $[-\pi, \pi]$, then

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\tilde{s}_{n}(f, x)-\tilde{f}\left(x, \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right| d x \leqslant\left(1+\frac{1}{\pi}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \Omega\left(\psi, I_{k n}\right), \quad n \geqslant 1
$$

where

$$
\Omega(\psi, I):=\sup \left\{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\psi_{x}(t)-\psi_{x}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right| d x: t, t^{\prime} \in I\right\}
$$

Theorem 2*. If $f$ is integrable on $[-\pi, \pi]$ and such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \Omega\left(\psi, J_{k}\right)<\infty
$$

then $\tilde{f}$ is also Lebesgue integable and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\tilde{s}_{n}(f, x)-\tilde{f}(x)\right| d x \leqslant & \left(1+\frac{1}{\pi}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \Omega\left(\psi, I_{k n}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \Omega\left(\psi, J_{k}\right), \quad n \geqslant 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is plain that

$$
\Omega\left(\psi, I_{k n}\right) \leqslant 2 \omega_{1}\left(f, \frac{\pi}{n}\right)
$$

where

$$
\omega_{1}(f, \delta):=\sup \left\{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|f(x+t)-f(x)| d x:|t| \leqslant \delta\right\}
$$

is the integral modulus of continuity of $f$.
Now, the conjugate versions of the Dini-Lipschitz test in $L^{1}$-norm reads as follows.

Corollary 1*. If $f$ is integrable on $[-\pi, \pi]$, then

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\tilde{s}_{n}(f, x)-\tilde{f}\left(x, \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right| d x \leqslant C \omega_{1}\left(f, \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \ln (n+1), \quad n \geqslant 1
$$

Corollary 2*. If $f$ is integrable on $[-\pi, \pi]$ and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \omega_{1}\left(f, \frac{\pi}{k}\right)<\infty, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\tilde{f}$ is also Lebesgue integrable and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\tilde{s}_{n}(f, x)-\tilde{f}(x)\right| d x \leqslant & C \omega_{1}\left(f, \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \ln (n+1) \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \omega_{1}\left(f, \frac{\pi}{k}\right), \quad n \geqslant 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, (5.1) is equivalent to the condition

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\omega_{1}(f, \delta)}{\delta} d \delta<\infty
$$

Problem. Is condition (5.1) the best possible in the following sense: Given a concave modulus of continuity $\omega(\delta)$ such that condition (2.7) is satisfied, does there exist an integrable function $f$ such that

$$
\omega_{1}(f, \delta) \leqslant C \omega(\delta), \quad \delta \geqslant 0
$$

but $\tilde{f}$ is not Lebesgue integrable, or at least, the conjugate series (1.2) does not converge to $\bar{f}$ in the $L$-norm?
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